Abstract—Pore wetting plays an important role on enhanced oil recovery because of its effects on fluid saturation, flow behavior and displacement breakthrough pressure of reservoir fluids in porous medium. This paper aims towards measuring contact angles of alcohol aqueous solutions and breakthrough pressures required for air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions in a single micro-size water-wet pore and oil-wet pore. The liquids used are methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol aqueous solutions which could represent the fundamental components of surfactant solutions used in surfactant flooding process. The pores used are silica pores and PMMA pores, which simulate surfactant solutions used in surfactant flooding process. The solutions which could represent the fundamental components of liquids used are methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol aqueous in a single micro-size water-wet pore and oil-wet pore. The pressures required for air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions contact angles of alcohol aqueous solutions and breakthrough fluids in porous medium. This paper aims towards measuring behavior and displacement breakthrough pressure of reservoir oil recovery because of its effects on fluid saturation, flow.

Index Terms—Enhanced oil recovery, displacement, multiphase flow, pore wetting.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiphase flow transport in porous media is relevant to many problems of great scientific and industrial importance, such as ceramic, coating, printing, detergency, groundwater movements, nanofluidics and nanolubrication extraction of oil, gas and geothermal energy from underground reservoirs, CO₂ storage and transport of contaminants in soils and aquifers [1]-[8]. Immiscible fluids migration in a pore is actually a displacement process, which is not only governed by the physical and chemical properties of fluids but also more importantly depends on the pore wetting [9]-[13]. Pore wetting of an oil reservoir controls how and where fluids flow and reside in the oil reservoir and determines the displacement efficiency for oil recovery. The original wetting condition of most of reservoirs and aquifers is predominately considered as being water-wet, but it is now widely believed that the most of reservoirs are actually mixed-wet. The origin of mixed wettability has been widely studied [14]-[18]. Some of reservoirs are water-wet and altered to be oil-wet during oil production [19]. This wetting inconsistency could severely affect the rheological properties of reservoir fluids in porous reservoir rocks and influence the multiphase flow displacement efficiency during the oil exaction in surfactant flooding process [20]-[22]. So, it would be necessary to investigate the wetting and displacement of liquids in both water-wet pore and oil-wet pore. We have experimentally studied static and dynamic pore wettabilities in terms of the effects of pore size, surface tension and viscosity of liquid [23], by measuring the contact angle of liquid in a small pore instead of the traditional contact angle measurements on a flat substrate [24]. In this paper, we measured the contact angles of alcohol aqueous solutions and the breakthrough pressures of air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions in a silica pore and a PMMA pore. The silica and PMMA are hydrophilic and hydrophobic materials, which represent the water-wet and oil-wet pores, respectively. The obtained results could advance the understanding of multiphase flow transport in porous media.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. Experimental Methods

Fig. 1 shows the contact angle measurement in a capillary. An optical microscope (Olympus, BHW) with a 10X objective (M PLAN 10X (025)) equipped with a digital camera (AM7023, Dino-Eye) was used to image the liquid meniscus. Due to the fact that the quality of meniscus image of a small volume of liquid in a capillary highly depends on the measurement method and light source, a LED light source was placed under the glass capillary. The light travels from the liquid end up to the meniscus in a dark background to overcome the degree of image distortion when a cylindrical capillary is used and therefore facilitate the measurements [25]. The outermost boundary of liquid-gas interface was well lighted and focused to produce the clear two-phase interfacial
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During the measurement, a single cleaned capillary was placed on a glass slide support and focused by microscope. A small portion of liquid (~1 μL) was dropped onto one end of the capillary using a microfluidic syringe (Hamilton, 701ASN 10 μL). The liquid was then imbibed into the capillary by its own capillary force. When the spontaneous dynamic imbibition was completely stopped and the equilibrium status was reached in the capillary, the equilibrium-static contact angle was achieved. To obtain the contact angle of liquid in a pore, we analyzed the microscopic images by using the method recently proposed by Cheong et al. [25]. Cheong et al. developed a method of measuring the contact angle of small volumes, by only using the capillary radius (r) and meniscus height (h) (see Fig. 1) of the capillary meniscus as shown in equation (1).

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r^2-h^2}{2rh}\right)$$

(1)

where \(\theta\) is the contact angle in a pore, \(r\) is the radius of capillary and \(h\) the height of capillary meniscus.

The experimental setup shown in Fig. 2 is designed to measure the capillary resistance of driving a two-phase flow through a single capillary tube to study the transport of multiphase flow in a single pore. The liquid was first pumped to the capillary by a Solvent Delivery Unit (LC-2AD, Shimadzu) at a flow rate of 0.005 ml/min. The end of capillary was connected to the liquid reservoir so that the effect of end-effect drag can be eliminated. When the liquid flow in the capillary reaches steady state, the air is injected at the connection cylinder in order to introduce the air-liquid interface in the capillary. The driving force is balanced by the viscous resistance of water movement. The differential pressure stays around at 0. At point A, the air-water interface starts to enter the capillary and pressure drops drastically to C and returns to the pressure value in section O-A. It is worth to note here that the driving force of two-phase flow is significantly larger than that to one-phase flow in a capillary.

![Fig. 2. Multiphase flow transport experimental setup.](image)

Fig. 3 shows the displacement pressure profile of air displacing DI water through a silica capillary with a size of 250 μm at 0.01 ml/min driving flow rate.

### B. Materials

The pores used in this study are flexible fused silica capillary tube (TSP250350) and Acrylic PMMA (Poly(methyl methacrylate)) (PARADIGM), which are hydrophilic and hydrophobic pores, respectively. They can represent the water-wet pores and oil-wet pores in the reservoirs. The inner diameters of both capillaries are 250 μm. Considering the effect of surface tension of liquids on the displacement of two-phase flow through a pore, three kinds of alcohol aqueous solutions with different alcohol mass concentration fractions ranging from 0 to 1 were used in this study. The alcohols include methanol (CH₃OH), ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH) and 1-propanol (CH₃CH₂CH₃OH). Table I lists the surface tensions of all alcohol aqueous solutions used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol mass concentration</th>
<th>Methanol</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
<th>1-propanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>72.75</td>
<td>72.75</td>
<td>72.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>63.46</td>
<td>56.41</td>
<td>42.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>56.87</td>
<td>48.14</td>
<td>34.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>47.86</td>
<td>38.56</td>
<td>28.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>41.09</td>
<td>33.53</td>
<td>26.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>37.02</td>
<td>30.69</td>
<td>25.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>28.51</td>
<td>25.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>26.72</td>
<td>24.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>25.48</td>
<td>24.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>25.98</td>
<td>24.32</td>
<td>24.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>24.37</td>
<td>23.23</td>
<td>23.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>22.31</td>
<td>23.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The surface tensions of liquids were determined by pendant drop experiments (First Ten Angstroms).*
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 4. Effect of alcohol concentration of alcohol aqueous solution on pore contact angles in a silica pore and a PMMA pore.

Fig. 5. Effect of surface tension of alcohol aqueous solution on pore contact angles in a silica pore and a PMMA pore.

Fig. 6. Effect of surface tension on breakthrough pressures for air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions in a silica pore.

Fig. 7. Effect of surface tension on breakthrough pressures for air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions in a PMMA pore.

Fig. 4 presents the contact angles of methanol, ethanol and 1-propanol aqueous solutions in single silica pores and PMMA pores, respectively. As all these different alcohols have one hydroxyl group at the terminal carbon but different lengths of carbon chains, the effect of concentration of amphiphiles with various alkyl chain lengths on pore wetting can be revealed. At the alcohol concentration of alcohol in alcohol aqueous solution of zero, the contact angle of alcohol aqueous solution is around 68° in a PMMA pore and corresponds to contact angle of water. In PMMA pores, the contact angles of alcohol aqueous solutions dramatically decrease from 68° with alcohol concentration increase before the alcohol concentration reaching its CMC (Critical Micelle Concentration). Beyond the CMC, the contact angle stops decreasing and keeps around 20°. The three alcohols have different CMCs, in which 1-propanol has the lowest CMC of 0.4 and methanol has the highest CMC of 0.9. The contact angle of 1-propanol aqueous solution drops with the alcohol concentration most significantly and approaches to a constant at its CMC of 0.4 in a PMMA pore. By comparison, the contact angles of ethanol and methanol aqueous solutions vary with the alcohol concentration less significantly. They reach constant values at their CMCs of 0.6 and 0.9 respectively in PMMA pores.

The pore contact angle of water in a silica pore is around 33°, which is less than that in a PMMA pore by 35°. The effect of concentration of various amphiphiles on the pore contact angle is less significant than that on pore contact angle in a PMMA pore. The contact angles of alcohol aqueous solution decrease from around 33° to 20° from the alcohol concentration fractions of 0 to their CMCs. Their contact angles remains at 20° beyond CMCs.

Fig. 5 plots the cosine of contact angles against surface tensions of all the alcohol aqueous solutions in a silica pore and PMMA pore based on the data in Fig. 4. This indicates that the trend of pore contact angle variation with the surface tension in a silica pore significantly differs from that in PMMA pore. The contact angle of alcohol aqueous solution varies with its surface tension in an oil-wet pore (PMMA) more remarkably than that in a water-wet pore (silica).

The breakthrough pressures required for air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions were measured in silica and PMMA pores, by considering the effect of surface tension (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). The breakthrough pressure increases linearly with the surface tension in the water-wet pore (silica pore). However, in the oil-wet pore (PMMA pore), the
breakthrough pressure stops increasing when the surface tension is higher than around 35 mN/m. The breakthrough pressure is correlated with both surface tension ($\gamma$) and contact angle ($\theta$) according to the Young-Laplace equation ($P = \gamma \cos \theta$). Fig. 5 indicates that the dependence of contact angle ($\cos \theta$) on the surface tension ($\gamma$) of alcohol aqueous solution in a water-wet pore (silica) significantly differs from that in an oil-wet pore (PMMA). This does cause the different breakthrough pressure trends of air displacing alcohol aqueous solutions in a silica pore and PMMA pore.

IV. CONCLUSION

Pore wetting and breakthrough pressure of alcohol aqueous solution were directly measured in water-wet pores and oil-wet pore in this study, respectively, by considering the effect of surface tension of liquid. The results can significantly advance the understanding of pore wetting and multiphase flow transport in porous media for enhanced oil recovery purposes. In our future studies, the pore wetting and breakthrough pressure of solutions will be measured in the pores with smaller sizes, and more amphiphilic organic compounds will be investigated, such as alkyl amines and alkyl carboxyl acids.
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