Photodegradation of 17α-Ethynylestradiol (EE2) in the Presence of Humic Acid and Carbonate Ions in Water Solutions
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Abstract—The concerns over 17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2) have been growing in the recent years due to its increasing presence in the environment and its high estrogenic potency. However, there is a limited amount of research available on the photochemical behaviors of EE2 in the presence of various aquatic environmental matrix components even though photodegradation has been considered an effective pathway for the removal of organic pollutants from not only waste waters but also natural aquatic ecosystems. Herein, the direct photodegradation of EE2 and the effects of common natural water matrix components, humic acid and carbonate ions, on the photodegradation were studied. High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) was utilized to determine the concentrations of EE2. The direct photodegradation of EE2 was found to increase with increasing water pH value in the range of 7.0 to 10.0 and the photodegradation rate constant increased with increasing concentration of EE2. Humic acid enhanced the photodegradation rate of EE2 under the simulated sunlight employed, while carbonate (CO$_3^-$) showed slight influences on EE2 photodegradation.

Index Terms—17α-Ethynylestradiol (EE2), concentration and pH influences, photodegradation, humic acid, carbonate.

I INTRODUCTION

The detection of steroid estrogens (SE’s) in the environment has shown increasing estrogenic levels in recent years, which has minatory effects for human and wildlife endocrine systems [1], [2]. The steroid estrogens have been considered being the most powerful endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) as these exogenous substances can modify the performance of the endocrine system of an organism or its offspring [2], [3]. The sources for these chemicals include the residues from pharmaceutical medication for the treatment of prostate and breast cancer, hormonal replacement therapies, and hair lotions for antagonistic alopecia [1]-[3]. Several reports have been related EDC pollution in the environment to the development of abnormalities in male reproductive systems, the growth of fish, and stunted growth of wildlife animals [3]. The most commonly found estrogens in wastewater and natural waters are estrone (E1), 17β-estradiol (E2), and estriol (E3) and 17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2). The synthetic estrogen 17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2) (Fig. 1) is a main active ingredient in some oral contraceptives and is much more potent even at a concentration as low as 0.1 ng L$^{-1}$. EE2 is more stable in aquatic environments than natural estrogens E1, E2, and E3. Biodegradation of EE2 in the natural environment was found to be much slower than its direct and indirect photodegradation [4], [5]. The half-life of EE2 biodegradation was found to be weeks or months under most natural water conditions [5]. It is important for environment scientists to examine the concentration of the estrogenic hormones and their fate in natural water to understand the toxic effect of estrogens on aquatic organisms [1], [2], [6]. One of the effective way used to remove the organic pollutants from the environment is photodegradation. The half-life of EE2 photodegradation was determined to be hours in natural surface waters [1], [7], [8]. Since the half-life of EE2 for biodegradation are weeks and months, so photodegradation may play a more important role in the environmental fate of EE2. The rapid photodegradation of organic pollutants in natural waters was always attributed to the acceleration effects of a number of organic and inorganic chromophores [1], [9]-[13]. Humic acid, a main ingredient of humic substances in natural water, has a significant effect on the photochemical processes in natural aquatic environments. It is important to explore the influences of humic acid on the photodegradation of EE2 to predict the transport and fate of this organic contaminant in natural water. Carbonate and bicarbonates, which are responsible for alkalinity, are ones of the most common inorganic salts present in natural surface water and may affect aquatic photochemical processes [10], [11]. But little research has studied the effect of CO$_3^-$ on the photodegradation of EE2. There are many studies ongoing on the photodegradation of estrogen E1, E2 and E3 during the last 15 years but the information on the photodegradation of EE2 is still limited [1], [4], [9], [10]. In this study, we first investigated the direct photodegradation of EE2 at various pH values with different initial substrate concentrations since pH is one of the important parameters that may influence reactive oxygen species formation and change the speciation of EE2. Then we examined the effects of humic acid and carbonate on the photodegradation of EE2.

Fig. 1. Chemical structure of EE2.
II MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Materials

Synthetic estrogen 17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Disodium hydrogen phosphate and sodium perchlorate were purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium, NJ). Acetonitrile was HPLC grade, purchased from Pharmco Products (Brookfield, CT) and Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). Humic acid was purchased from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI). Sodium chloride was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn NJ). Sodium bicarbonate was purchased from EM Science (Gibbstown, NJ). Methanol was obtained from Pharmco Products (Brookfield, CT). Except where noted, all reagents were of analytical grade and all solution preparations were made using doubly distilled-deionized water.

B. Solution Preparation

For complete dissolution of EE2, the EE2 solution was stirred for 24 hours at 20 °C. A 10 mM of either NaClO₄ or NaH₂PO₄ was used as buffer solution in the experimentation. Initial pH of working solutions was adjusted using NaOH and HClO₄. For photo degradation experiments EE2 solutions were prepared and stirred overnight at room temperature in dark before irradiation experiments were initiated.

C. Photolysis Experiments

Photochemical experiments were performed in capped 60 mL Pyrex glass photolysis tubes along with a 150 W Xenon Short Arc lamp as a light source (Newport Oriel Instruments, Stamford, CT, USA). The irradiation intensity of the illumination source was regulated via voltage control. A Thermo-Scientific Evolution 60 model Ultraviolet (UV) Spectrophotometer was employed to record UV-visible spectra.

D. Analytical Method

A Thermo-Scientific HPLC apparatus Dionex Ultimate 3000 was used for the determination of concentration of EE2 with the aid of a diode array detector. The separations were achieved on the YMC-Pack Pro C18 reversed phase column having dimensions as 150 x 4.6 mm, and particle specifications as 3 μ and 120 A. A mixture of acetonitrile and H₂O comprised of 60:40 ratio served as the mobile phase in the HPLC separation. The mobile phase flow rate was set at 0.8 mL/min and the wavelength for detection was set at 280 nm.

The analytical calibration curve for EE2 was established by analyzing of EE2 standard solutions with concentrations ranged from 0.200 to 1.00 ppm. The calibration curves were found to be linear as shown in Fig. 2 and the calibration equation is:

\[ y = 2.5776x - 0.0034 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.99944 \]

III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Direct Photodegradation

Direct photodegradation of EE2 was performed with various initial concentrations of EE2 at different pH values to test the effects of these parameters on the degradation

B. A.1. Kinetics for Direct Photodegradation of EE2

When the photodegradation experimental results are plotted in the form ln(C/C₀) as a function of reaction time, a linear relationship is obtained (Fig. 3). This is in agreement with the theory of photochemical kinetics [12].

The photodegradation rate of EE2 at a given wavelength λ can expressed by

\[- (dC_{EE2}/dt) = \phi I_0 \varepsilon \lambda \Delta (S/V), \]  
\[ y = 2.5776x - 0.0034 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.99944 \]

\[ (1) \]

Fig. 3. First kinetic plot for the photodegradation of 17α ethynylestradiol (EE2) in water solution under simulated sunlight. [EE2]₀ = 0.20 ppm, pH = 8.0.

The photodegradation rate of EE2 at a given wavelength λ can expressed by

\[- (dC_{EE2}/dt) = \phi I_0 \varepsilon \lambda \Delta (S/V), \]  
\[ y = 2.5776x - 0.0034 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.99944 \]

where: \( dC_{EE2}/dt \) is the average rate for the disappearance of EE2 (M s⁻¹); \( \phi \) is the quantum yield of the reaction; \( I_0 \) is the intensity of light incident to the reactor tube (Einstein cm⁻² s⁻¹); S is the area of the reactor exposed to light (cm²); V is the reactor volume (liter); \( \varepsilon \lambda \) and C are the molar absorptivity (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and concentration (M), respectively, of the photochemical reactant EE2; and D is the light pathlength (cm).

In natural water systems, chemical pollutants, like EE2, are generally present at low concentration, and only weakly absorb sunlight. When the absorbance of the photochemical reactant is lower than 0.1, \( 1 - 10^{-\varepsilon \lambda \Delta} \) is approximately equal to 2.303\( \varepsilon \lambda \Delta \). Thus, Eqn. 1 can be written in the form

\[- (dC_{EE2}/dt) = 2.303\phi I_0 \varepsilon \lambda \Delta (S/V), \]  
\[ y = 2.5776x - 0.0034 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.99944 \]

\[ (2) \]
where \( k_2 \) is the first-order photoreaction rate constant. In this study, the photolysis of EE2 were carried out under conditions of such low absorbance. Hence, the disappearance rate of EE2 should be pseudo-first-order with respect to EE2 concentration.

C. A.2. Effect of Initial Concentration of EE2

The effect of the initial concentration of EE2 on the direct photodegradation was conducted at pH 8.0 in the concentration range between 0.20 to 1.00 ppm of EE2. The photodegradation of EE2 generally followed the pseudo-first-order kinetics [13]. That is,

\[ \ln \left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right) = -kt \]  

(3)

where \( C_0 \) and \( C \) are the concentrations of EE2 at time zero and reaction time \( t \) (h). \( k \) is the pseudo-first-order degradation rate constant (h\(^{-1}\)). The photodegradation half-life \( (t_{1/2}) \) of EE2 was calculated by

\[ t_{1/2} = \ln 2/k \]  

(4)

The photodegradation rate constant increased with decreasing concentrations of EE2 under simulated sunlight (Fig. 4). The depletion half-life of EE2 varied from 1.9 to 4.1 h within the initial EE2 concentration range of 0.20 to 1.00 ppm. Increasing the initial concentrations of EE2 were significantly inhibited the photodegradation of EE2. In natural waters, the EE2 is commonly detected at sub-ng L\(^{-1}\) level or below [14]. Thus, the photodegradation half-life for EE2 in natural surface waters should be shorter than that discussed in this study.

D. A.3. Effect of pH on the Photodegradation of EE2

The direct photodecomposition of EE2 under simulated sunlight at various pH values (3.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0) is presented in Fig. 5. The degradation of EE2 increased with increasing pH in the pH range from 3.0 to 10.0. EE2 has a pKa value of 10.5. Thus, EE2 will start deprotonation at about pH 8.0, and above pH 8.0 its deprotonated fraction increases rapidly with increasing pH value [15]. The deprotonation of phenolic compounds, like EE2, results in a red shift of their UV-vis absorption spectra. The red shift increased the spectrum overlap between EE2 light absorption with simulated sunlight and the photochemical reactivity of EE2 [16].

E. Effect of Carbonate on the Photodegradation of EE2

Carbonate (CO\(_3\)^{2-}\) and its conjugate acid bicarbonate (HCO\(_3\)^{-}\) is a common acid-base buffering pair in natural waters [9]. These inorganic ions may affect the photodegradation of organic pollutants by scavenging OH \(^{•}\) and other free radicals photochemically formed,

\begin{align}
\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HO}^• & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \bullet\text{CO}_3^- \quad (5) \\
\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{HO}^• & \rightarrow \text{HO}^- + \bullet\text{CO}_3^- \quad , (6)
\end{align}

or by neutralizing the H\(^{+}\) ions released during the photolysis [17, [19]. To test the effects of CO\(_3^{2-}/\text{HCO}_3^-\) ions on the photodegradation of EE2, experiments were conducted in the absence or present of carbonate at concentration of 40.0 \( \mu \text{M} \) at pH values of 8.0 and 10.0. The results are presented in Fig. 6a, b. It is not surprising that carbonate ions did not significantly affect the photodegradation of EE2 since the concentration of EE2 in the photolytic solutions was low. Thus, the formation of OH radical and the releasing of H\(^{+}\) ions from the photolysis should be very limited [20, [21].

Fig. 4. The pseudo-first-order rate constant for the direct photodegradation of EE2 at different initial concentrations of EE2 under simulated light at pH = 8.0.

Fig. 5. Direct photodegradation of EE2 (1.0 ppm) at different pH values under simulated sunlight irradiation.

Fig. 6. Photodegradation of EE2 (1.0 ppm) in 40.0 \( \mu \text{M} \) Carbonate and deionized water at pH = 8.00 (a) and at pH =10.00 (b) under simulated light.
F. Effect of Humic Acid on the Photodegradation of EE2

In natural water, besides direct photodegradation of EE2, a number of other organic and inorganic chromophores, such as dissolved humic acid, transition metal organic complexes, nitrate and nitrite ions, may also undergo photochemical reactions, producing reactive oxygen species, such as superoxide ions and OH radicals, which can further decompose EE2 and other organic pollutants [12], [13], [20].

In this study, the effects of humic acid on the photodegradation of EE2 was investigated in the presence and absence of 5.0 mg/L of humic acid at pH values of 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0. As shown in Fig. 7, the degradation of EE2 was enhanced markedly in the presence of humic acid under simulated sunlight at all pH values studied. This is in consistent with the earlier reports for the photosensitized degradation of other steroid estrogens E1, E2 and E3 [13]. The photodegradation of EE2 also increased with increasing solution pH values in the presence of humic acid.

Fig. 7. Photodegradation of EE2 in the absence and presence of humic acid (HA) (5.0 mg L⁻¹) at different pH values under simulated sunlight.

IV CONCLUSIONS

EE2 has been shown to undergo both direct and indirect photodegradation in aqueous solutions under simulated sunlight irradiation. The direct photodegradation of EE2 followed the pseudo-first-order kinetics, and the pseudo-first order reaction constant increased with decreasing initial concentration of EE2. The degradation of EE2 increased with increasing pH value in the pH range from 3.0 to 10.0 because the deprotonated EE2 has stronger UV-vis light absorption and also absorbs light at longer wavelengths. Humic acid, a common photosensitizer in marine and fresh water systems, enhanced the photodegradation of EE2 under simulated sunlight. Carbonate ions did not show significant influence on the direct photodgradation of EE2.
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